

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/023,234

02/13/98

HOLMAN

Т

042390P5658

· LM02/0907

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR& ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90025

VERBRUGGE, K

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2751

DATE MAILED:

09/07/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

See attached Advisory Action.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/023,234

Kevin Verbrugge

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Holman

2751



TH	E PER	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]		
	a) X expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
	b) 🗌	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of rejection.	, whichever the final	
	date on	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The ate on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of etermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be alculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.		
	Appell period	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on If or response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	(or within any	
		nt's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Aug 15, 2000</u> has been considered wi or deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	th the following effect,	
X	The pr	roposed amendment(s):		
🖄 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.				
	will not be entered because:			
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).			
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).				
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or si issues for appeal.				
	_	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected cla	aims.	
	NO.	TE:		
	□ A p	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):		
		y proposed or amended claims would be allocate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	wable if submitted in a	
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we niner in the final rejection.	re newly raised by the	
X	For pu	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):		
	Claim	Claims allowed:		
	Claim	Claims objected to:		
	Claims rejected: 1-17			
		proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approve	ed by the Examiner.	
	Note t	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		
	Other	Theory of Super	EDDIE P. CHAN VISORY PATENT EXAMINER	

13